



PRACTICE POINTER

Remote management of covid-19 using home pulse oximetry and virtual ward support

Trisha Greenhalgh,¹ Matthew Knight,^{2,3} Matt Inada-Kim,^{4,5} Naomi J Fulop,⁶ Jonathan Leach,⁷ Cecilia Vindrola-Padros⁶

What you need to know

- Pulse oximeters used at home can detect hypoxia associated with acute covid-19
- Home oximetry requires clinical support, such as regular phone contact from a health professional in a virtual ward setting
- More research is needed to understand the safety and effectiveness of home oximetry and to optimise service models and referral pathways

Oxygen levels in covid-19

Low blood oxygen—technically, hypoxaemia but usually referred to as hypoxia—can be defined as a measured oxygen saturation below 94% in the absence (or below 88% in the presence) of chronic lung disease.¹ In most patients who die of acute covid-19, the initial illness advances insidiously, sometimes with “silent hypoxia” (hypoxia without clinically perceptible symptoms of dyspnoea^{2,3}), leading to pneumonia followed by acute respiratory distress syndrome, usually in week 2.⁴ The underlying pathology in covid-19 related hypoxia is probably a ventilation-perfusion mismatch,⁵ caused by a combination of intrapulmonary shunting, loss of lung perfusion regulation, intravascular microthrombi, and reduced lung compliance leading to alveolar collapse.^{2,6,7}

Many patients hospitalised with acute covid-19 have severe hypoxia.^{4,7,8} Hypoxia, silent hypoxia, and the need for supplementary oxygen are all independent predictors of worse outcomes in covid-19.^{8–16} Novel prognostic tools such as the 4C score have shown the importance of identifying hypoxia early,^{9,17} and there are physiological reasons for managing the complication promptly and actively.^{1,18}

For all these reasons, UK guidelines recommend that assessment and monitoring of breathless, unwell, or high risk patients with suspected covid-19 should include pulse oximetry.^{19,20} Guidance published in January 2021 by the World Health Organization includes a provisional recommendation for “use of pulse oximetry monitoring at home as part of a package of care, including patient and provider education and appropriate follow-up.”²¹

Pulse oximeters: practicalities and cautions

Home pulse oximeters have long been used in primary care settings—most usually for monitoring chronic lung disease and heart failure.²² Devices cost £15–35 (\$20–48, €17–40) when sold commercially, but

substantial savings can be made on bulk purchases. They are relatively simple and quick to use, though not everyone is able to understand or operate them.

Finger pulse oximeters are generally accurate and reliable (provided they have evidence of a quality standard such as ISO 80601-2-61:2017), however, most smartphone oximeters are unreliable and should not be used.^{23,24} The Apple watch (Series 6 and above) has an inbuilt oximeter that operates on similar principles to a standard finger oximeter but is not designed (or licensed) for medical use (Tarassenko L, personal communication).

Pulse oximeter readings should be taken on a warm finger, with the patient in the upright (sitting) position and resting. The instrument should be left to stabilise for a minute before confirming the reading. Falsely low readings may occur if the patient’s peripheries are cold, if there is poor perfusion owing to (for example) hypotension, hypovolaemic shock, or cardiac failure, if the patient has tattoos, nail polish, or false nails, when the patient is supine (see below), and at low levels of oxygen saturation.^{23,24} Readings may also be falsely low in patients with anaemia, sickle cell disease, and other haemoglobinopathies. This article relates mainly to those over 16 years, however, oximeters are accurate in children, though it is important to use a paediatric oximeter and to use it on a finger (not, for example, an ear lobe).

A study of hospital inpatients found that those with black or brown skin were three times as likely as those with white skin to have occult hypoxia—that is, pulse oximeter readings in the normal range but arterial blood gas levels in the hypoxic range. The oximeter reading gave false reassurance in 11.7% of patients with black or brown skin and 3.6% of those with white skin.²⁵ However, other authors have found that inaccuracy of pulse oximeters in those with darker skin relates mainly to saturations below 90%—substantially below the level that would trigger a hospital referral.^{26,27} For the purposes of home monitoring, we suggest some rules of thumb to mitigate skin colour bias. First, ensure that the patient is using a quality-marked oximeter, since cheaper products marketed directly to the public may be less accurate. Second, take account of deviation from the patient’s own baseline if known. Third, take particular care to assess the patient holistically rather than relying solely on the oximeter readings. Finally, be prepared to act on any reading below the normal range in a patient with darker skin, regardless of ethnicity.

¹ Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

² West Hertfordshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Hertfordshire, UK

³ West Hertfordshire Respiratory Service—Central London Community Healthcare, Hertfordshire, UK

⁴ Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Hampshire, UK

⁵ NHS England, London, UK

⁶ Department of Applied Health Research, University College London, London, UK

⁷ Royal College of General Practitioners, London, UK

Correspondence to T Greenhalgh
trish.greenhalgh@phc.ox.ac.uk

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2021;372:n677

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n677>

Published: 25 March 2021

What is an abnormal pulse oximeter reading?

The normal range of oxygen saturation is 94-98% at rest in patients without chronic lung disease.¹ A pulse oximeter reading of 92% or lower is one defining feature of “severe” disease in acute covid-19 (requiring urgent referral to hospital). Depending on the patient’s own normal range, a reading of 93-94% may indicate “moderate” disease (requiring prompt assessment, for example in a community clinic).¹⁹ Trend is important: a reading that is borderline and falling is far more concerning than one that is borderline and stable or rising. The pulse oximeter reading is part of a wider assessment of the patient: if there are red flags (such as central chest pain or blue lips), the patient should be fast-tracked to urgent care whatever the oximeter reading.¹⁹ A drop in saturation of 3% or more on exertion is considered abnormal and should prompt further assessment.

Patients with chronic lung disease often have a degree of hypoxia, in which case target saturation rates generally fall between 88% and 92%.¹ These patients are often aware of what is normal for them. A drop of 3% or more below what is normal for the patient warrants further assessment and a drop of 4% or more may require hospital admission.

Nocturnal desaturation (a fall in the patient’s oximeter reading at night) of up to 3% occurs commonly; up to five brief episodes per night are considered normal (especially if there is some pre-existing lung disease) because of variation in depth of breathing during sleep.²⁸ More prolonged or frequent desaturations may indicate obstructive sleep apnoea, though more specialist monitoring when not acutely unwell would be required to diagnose this.

Who should use a pulse oximeter at home?

Many people have purchased their own pulse oximeter for self-monitoring in the absence of risk factors or symptoms.²⁹ Others already own an oximeter for monitoring a pre-existing condition such as heart failure. In a “straw poll” of 15 000 social media followers by the lead author, more than 60% said they had a pulse oximeter at home. Many patients with acute covid-19 appear able

to learn how to use a pulse oximeter and are willing to take regular readings (see accompanying Patient Perspective).¹²

Despite widespread enthusiasm for, and ownership of, pulse oximeters by lay people, official policy takes a more restrictive view of who needs to use one for covid-19 monitoring (eg, those over 65 and with relevant risk factors and comorbidities such as obesity, diabetes, or frailty).³⁰ WHO guidance states that oximeters for home use should be considered “in symptomatic patients with covid-19 and risk factors for progression to severe disease who are not hospitalized.”²¹

There is a hypothetical risk that use of a home oximeter may exacerbate anxiety. In the authors’ clinical experience, this is rare, and indeed home oximetry may be introduced to help manage anxiety during acute covid-19.³¹ A previous qualitative study by our own team found that both patients and relatives were reassured by home oximetry in long term condition monitoring.³² If patients find that home oximetry worsens their anxiety, they should not use it.

Virtual wards: a service model to support home monitoring

Pulse oximeters do not save lives, good clinical care does. There is a world of difference between the patient who is given a pulse oximeter and symptom diary and told to contact the health service if they deteriorate and the patient who is given the same equipment, shown how to use it, and who then receives regular calls from a healthcare professional.

This is the rationale behind the virtual ward remote monitoring model for covid-19, which has been introduced in some settings (including, in England, the Covid Oximetry@Home service led from primary care¹⁹ and post-hospital assessment models led from secondary care^{33 34}). [Figure 1](#) shows a virtual ward consultation occurring by video. [Figure 2](#) shows a flowchart for selecting patients for, and supporting them in, a home oximetry and virtual ward service.




Fig 1 | Virtual ward care. The clinician reviews a patient's record while conducting a video consultation with the patient, who is self-monitoring her symptoms and pulse oximeter readings at home




Fig 2 | Flowchart for home oximetry with virtual ward monitoring. The criteria and cut-off levels are a guide to be used alongside clinical judgement

Box 1 provides more detail on clinical assessment when considering a patient for virtual ward monitoring.

Box 1: Clinical assessment of patients with suspected or confirmed covid-19 who are being considered for home pulse oximetry and virtual ward support

- Take a history, especially the nature, severity, and duration of symptoms. Ask about exertional breathlessness (but note: absence of breathlessness does not exclude hypoxia)
- Assess baseline risk of severe outcome from covid-19. NHS England recommends virtual ward monitoring only for those over 65 or classed as clinically severely vulnerable.³⁵ Current risk prediction tools all have limitations, for example:
 - 4C score⁹ requires blood tests which may not be available
 - SOARS¹⁶ is based on a hospital assessed cohort
 - QCovid³⁶ does not incorporate acute variables so will underestimate severity in sick patients who lack known risk factors
 - NEWS2³⁷ is not covid-19 specific and the score may change only at a late stage of deterioration
 - RECAP³⁸ is a new instrument being developed as a covid-19 specific early warning score³⁹
- Evaluate any other symptoms—which may or may not be related to covid-19:
 - Unwell patients may have dehydration, eg, resulting from diarrhoea or poor fluid intake
 - Be alert to rare but serious complications, eg, sepsis, new neurological symptoms
 - Assess face to face if clinically indicated, eg, confusion, chest pain, dizziness, collapse, dysuria
- Evaluate comorbidities:
 - In particular—but not limited to—control of diabetes, cardiac disease including heart failure, neurological disease, renal function (clinical and laboratory tests will vary with setting)
 - Agree with patient how comorbidities will be monitored alongside covid-19 symptoms and oxygen levels
- Evaluate the social situation:
 - Ascertain who else is at home and give advice on infection control (masks for patient and others when in contact, how to use a bathroom if you have only one)
 - Confirm that a care package is in place, eg, someone to shop, ensure medication supplies
 - Confirm that the patient is not a carer for someone else or is still able to do what is needed
- Baseline and exertional oximetry:
 - Oximetry is part of clinical assessment, not a substitute for it
 - If oximeter reading at rest is 96% or above, do an exertional test (40 steps around the room or 1 minute sit-to-stand test if clinically supervised)⁴⁰
- Train the patient and carer to use the oximeter and diary:
 - See training video and diary template (box 4)
 - Encourage the patient to take readings (eg, three times daily) and have them ready for each appointment
- Agree and arrange follow-up:
 - Arrangements need not be complex (eg, a daily phone call) but must be in place
 - Give safety netting advice: what to do if symptoms or oximeter reading deteriorate

- Rules of thumb for onward referral:
 - Treat the patient, not the oximeter reading. Share decisions about next steps.
 - Consider trajectory over time: “the trend is your friend”
 - Consider assessment in person (eg, at a covid-19 “hot hub”) if oxygen saturation is 94% or below (this aligns with new guidance from NHS England and the British Thoracic Society^{19 35 41}). Consider urgent referral for hospital assessment if it is 92% or below.
 - Adjust these figures if the patient has known pre-existing hypoxia from chronic respiratory failure (but do not assume that hypoxia in people with chronic lung disease is pre-existing).
 - Patients whose oximeter reading falls 3% or more on mild exertion should also be seen, whatever the resting level⁴⁰

There is no “right” service model to support home oximetry. Rather, services should be shaped to local contexts and needs. A rapid systematic review of reports from around the world found that most virtual ward models for covid-19 were led by secondary care: most included clinically—as well as laboratory—diagnosed cases (hence, some patients were likely to have had other acute illnesses).⁴² Monitoring was carried out using a range of different approaches, including online platforms, paper based systems with telephone calls, or (less frequently) through wearable sensors.

Various kinds of remote monitoring services in England were established rapidly and pragmatically in early 2020.⁴³ They were run from primary or secondary care: patients were referred to them from primary care, emergency departments, or post-discharge from hospital. The services supported patients in their own homes and also in care homes.⁴² Low technology approaches to monitoring (phone and paper) tended to achieve lower data capture than electronic ones (apps, web portals, or wearable sensors) but also appeared to be more inclusive. Reassuringly, of 1737 patients referred for virtual ward care across six UK centres, only 20 deaths occurred (1.1%).^{43 44}

In low-resource settings, home oximetry with virtual ward monitoring has occasionally been implemented cheaply at scale to take pressure off overstretched hospital services.⁴⁵ In one adaptation of the virtual ward model in Argentina, nurses visit patients at home to take readings as oximeters are in short supply.⁴⁶ We identified no formal evaluations of such initiatives.

The goal of supported home monitoring seems achievable based on a range of different models, and a number of critical success factors have been identified (box 2).^{42 43}

Box 2: Critical success factors for virtual ward support

Research has established six factors that are key to success:^{42 43}

Resources and training for patients and carers

Clear, culturally appropriate patient materials (in different languages) should be available via a variety of media (eg, paper, web, video). Examples are given in the box at the end of the article. Patients need to be trained and supported to self-manage and to express concerns if things are not going according to plan.

Regular human contact

- Phone or video contact should be made regularly by a doctor or nurse practitioner with specialist training, or by less senior healthcare staff with basic training overseen by specialists.

Measures to compensate for inequalities

- Virtual ward support is more challenging in people with low health literacy, low digital literacy, limited connectivity, or lack of technology.

Using familiar, basic technologies (eg, telephone) and bespoke support will extend reach and accessibility.

Prioritising those most in need

- Referral of any patient to a virtual ward should be a case based judgement incorporating clinical concern, patient preference, and local priority criteria. Guidance for virtual wards in England currently prioritises people over 65 and those classed as clinically extremely vulnerable, on the grounds that most people who go on to require intensive care support will meet these criteria.³⁵

Safety measures

- To assure patient safety, clear exclusion criteria, escalation pathways, and safety netting should be adhered to (fig 2).

Service evaluation

- All virtual ward services should allow capture of high quality data on process and outcome that can be aggregated and followed over time to inform the quality improvement cycle. Shared databases would allow linkage of such data across providers and between primary and secondary care.

Covid-19 claimed more than a million lives worldwide in 2020. It also generated research findings and system learning which, if systematically applied, could significantly reduce the death toll as the pandemic continues. One aspect of such learning is the accurate detection and timely management of hypoxia. Home oximetry and virtual ward support could potentially save many lives by enabling patients requiring hospitalisation to be identified earlier, while also conserving hospital beds and reducing the risk of nosocomial infection. As the evidence base for the efficacy, safety, and acceptability of such models is now accumulating,^{33 34 42–44} some unanswered questions remain, for which further research is needed, including the impact of home monitoring on patient outcomes (box 3).

Box 3: Unanswered questions and ongoing research

Questions to be answered through further research include:

- Efficacy**—What is the impact of remote home monitoring on patient outcomes, and what elements of the service affect these outcomes?
- Cost effectiveness**—How can the service be made more cost-effective (eg, through optimum use of different staff grades)? How might this have a knock-on effect on other health services? What measures would enable primary care to deliver a home monitoring service for patients, reducing the demand on hospital services?
- Patient experience**—What is the range of patients' and carers' experiences with home oximetry? How can we best engage and support patients from different demographic and ethnic groups?
- Equity**—What tools and approaches might be used to reduce inequalities in the delivery of remote monitoring services?
- Evaluation and adaptation**—What processes are used to implement and evaluate new models of care for remote monitoring? How might the implementation process be adapted based on the healthcare sector, patient population, size, wave of the pandemic, and approaches used for triage, monitoring, and escalation?
- Sustainability**—How do remote monitoring models transform over time and become sustained beyond the pressures and resources made available during the first wave of the pandemic?
- Data quality**—Research on remote home monitoring requires standardisation of data collection and appropriate comparators. Home oximetry does not yet have specific SNOMED-CT codes, but there are existing codes for “pulse oximeter” (object), “pulse oximetry” (procedure), and “peripheral oxygen saturation” (value).

- Other conditions**—What other conditions might be monitored via home oximetry and virtual wards? How might the model be extended as remote care is introduced more widely?

Education into practice

How would you explain oximeter use to a patient?

How would you assess suitability for care within an oximetry-at-home service (run in primary care)?

What safety netting advice would you provide to patients?

Resources for patients

- A video explanation, intended for patients in the UK National Health Service, of how to use a pulse oximeter and keep a symptom diary:
- Animation <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ifnYjD4IKus>
- Video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tWlv2V-MJU8>
- How to use a pulse oximeter. YouTube videos in different languages:
 - Hindi: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e1ipijY-zwk>
 - Punjabi: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wU5V6wVEHoM>
 - Urdu: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rkGRRLlumW4>
 - Polish: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lkd-BNEMvLs>
 - English: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nx27Ck7xOgo>
- Example of a remote monitoring diary: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/06/Co445-remote-monitoring-in-primary-care-annex-2-diary-jan-21-v1.2.pdf>
- Resources for patients in multiple languages: <https://healthinnovationmanchester.com/our-work/covid-oximetry-at-home-and-virtual-wards/>
- Rehabilitation website aimed at patients recovering from covid-19: <https://www.yourcovidrecovery.nhs.uk/>
- General information for patients on covid-19: <https://patient.info/coronavirus-covid-19>

Resources for clinicians

- Training resources on oximetry from Health Services Journal: <https://training.hsj.co.uk/covid-early-warning-system-saves-lives>
- E-learning module on oximetry in care homes: <https://elearning.rcgp.org.uk/course/view.php?id=383>
- Standard operating procedures for Covid Oximetry @ Home (CO@H) from NHS England: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/06/Co445-remote-monitoring-in-primary-care-jan-2021-v1.1.pdf>
- Example of local guidance for those implementing the Covid Oximetry @ Home. <https://wessexahsn.org.uk/projects/388/covid-oximetry-at-home-toolkit>

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

A patient contributed a parallel article about her experiences (doi:10.1136/bmj.n622). Feedback from patients (including the patient in the linked article, cared for in the clinical services of one of the authors) around what they felt helped in their virtual hospital care has shaped the clinical services run by MIK and MJK. Direct feedback around the concerns of being managed out of hospital were incorporated into the advice given here.

How this article was created

This article brings together the perspectives of authors from different sectors: academia (TG, NJF, CV), policymaking (MIK), professional bodies (JL), and clinical practice (JL, TG, MK, MIK, JL). We sought to include both academic literature and more pragmatic “grey literature” which reflected current best practice. We based the advice on extensive clinical experience, especially MK’s role overseeing a large virtual ward for covid-19 patients, which has been formally evaluated.⁴⁴ We summarised and updated some rapid systematic reviews undertaken by TG, MIK, and MK and their teams early in the pandemic,^{23 47 48} and also drew on a new systematic review⁴² and empirical evaluation of virtual wards⁴³ undertaken by NJF and CV’s team more recently. In addition, we refer to national guidance¹⁹ and an educational video developed for patients (box 4) which MIK had been involved in writing for NHS England. Additional academic sources were identified using snowball searching of key papers from Google Scholar. The language in the article was extensively changed to improve accessibility following peer review.

Acknowledgments: Matt Hammerton designed the diary and advice sheet for patients; Denis Barbulat created the illustration on that sheet.

The authors thank editors and reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier draft.

Competing interests: MI-K is the National Clinical Lead for Deterioration and Sepsis at NHS England/NHS Improvement. NJF led the evaluation of virtual wards in England.

Funding: TG’s research is funded from the following sources: ESRC covid-19 Emergency Fund (ES/V010069/1), National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre (1215-20008), and Wellcome Trust (WT104830MA). NJF’s research is funded by National Institute for Health Research and she is an NIHR senior investigator. Research on NJF and CVP’s study of virtual wards is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (Health Services and Delivery Research, 16/138/17—Rapid Service Evaluation Research Team); and The Birmingham, RAND, and Cambridge Evaluation (BRACE) Centre Team (HSDR16/138/31).

Dedication: This paper is dedicated to two of our mothers, Dr Christina Fulop and Mrs Mary Greenhalgh, who both died recently of covid-19 at the age of 94.

Commissioned, based on an idea from the authors; externally peer reviewed.

- O’Driscoll BR, Howard LS, Earis J, Mak V British Thoracic Society Emergency Oxygen Guideline Group BTS Emergency Oxygen Guideline Development Group. BTS guideline for oxygen use in adults in healthcare and emergency settings. *Thorax* 2017;72(Suppl 1):ii1-90. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209729 pmid: 28507176
- Couzin-Frankel J. The mystery of the pandemic’s ‘happy hypoxia’. *Science* 2020;368:455-6. doi: 10.1126/science.368.6490.455 pmid: 32355007
- Dhont S, Derom E, Van Braeckel E, Depuydt P, Lambrecht BN. The pathophysiology of ‘happy’ hypoxemia in COVID-19. *Respir Res* 2020;21:198. doi: 10.1186/s12931-020-01462-5 pmid: 32723327
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. The Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. *JAMA* 2020;323:2052-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775 pmid: 32320003
- Dhont S, Derom E, Van Braeckel E, Depuydt P, Lambrecht BN. The pathophysiology of ‘happy’ hypoxemia in COVID-19. *Respir Res* 2020;21:198. doi: 10.1186/s12931-020-01462-5 pmid: 32723327
- Tobin MJ. Basing respiratory management of COVID-19 on physiological principles. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020;201:1319-20. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202004-1076ED pmid: 32281885
- Levitan RM. Pulse oximetry as a biomarker for early identification and hospitalization of COVID-19 pneumonia. *Acad Emerg Med* 2020;27:785-6. doi: 10.1111/acem.14052 pmid: 32779867
- Brouqui P, Amrane S, Million M, et al. Asymptomatic hypoxia in COVID-19 is associated with poor outcome. *Int J Infect Dis* 2021;102:233-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.067 pmid: 33130200
- Knight SR, Ho A, Pius R, et al. ISARIC4C investigators. Risk stratification of patients admitted to hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: development and validation of the 4C Mortality Score. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3339. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3339 pmid: 32907855
- Xie J, Covassin N, Fan Z, et al. Association between hypoxemia and mortality in patients with COVID-19. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020;95:1138-47. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.04.006 pmid: 32376101
- Arnold DT, Hamilton FW, Milne A, et al. Patient outcomes after hospitalisation with COVID-19 and implications for follow-up; results from a prospective UK cohort. *Thorax* 2020; e-pub ahead of print. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216086
- O’Carroll O, MacCann R, O’Reilly A, et al. Remote monitoring of oxygen saturation in individuals with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2020;56:2001492. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01492-2020 pmid: 32616588

- Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospitalization and critical illness among 4,103 patients with COVID-19 disease in New York City. *BMJ* 2020;369. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1966
- Inada-Kim M, Chmiel FP, Boniface MJ, et al. Validation of home oxygen saturations as a marker of clinical deterioration in patients with suspected COVID-19. *MedRxiv* [preprint] 2020:2020.11.06.20225938. doi: 10.1101/2020.11.06.20225938
- Shah S, Majmudar K, Stein A, et al. Novel use of home pulse oximetry monitoring in COVID-19 patients discharged from the emergency department identifies need for hospitalization. *Acad Emerg Med* 2020;27:681-92. doi: 10.1111/acem.14053 pmid: 32779828
- Chua F, Vancheeswaran R, Draper A, et al. Early prognostication of COVID-19 to guide hospitalisation versus outpatient monitoring using a point-of-test risk prediction score. *Thorax* 2021; e-pub ahead of print. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216425
- Gupta RK, Harrison EM, Ho A, et al. Development and validation of the ISARIC 4C Deterioration model for adults hospitalised with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 2021; e-pub ahead of print. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30559-2
- Shenoy N, Luchtel R, Gulani P. Considerations for target oxygen saturation in COVID-19 patients: are we under-shooting? *BMC Med* 2020;18:260. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01735-2 pmid: 32814566
- NHS England. Pulse oximetry to detect early deterioration of patients with COVID-19 in primary and community care settings, 11 June 2020, Version 1.1. 2021. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/06/C0445-remote-monitoring-in-primary-care-jan-2021-v1.1.pdf> 2021
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing suspected or confirmed pneumonia in adults in the community. 2020. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng165>
- World Health Organization. Covid-19 clinical management: living guideline (updated 25.12.2021). <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/338882>
- Plüddemann A, Thompson M, Heneghan C, Price C. Pulse oximetry in primary care: primary care diagnostic technology update. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:358-9. doi: 10.3399/bjgp11X572553 pmid: 21619771
- Tarassenko L, Greenhalgh T. Should smartphone apps be used as oximeters? Oxford: COVID-19 Evidence Service. 2020. <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/question-should-smartphone-apps-be-used-as-oximeters-answer-no/>
- Luks AM, Swenson ER. Pulse oximetry for monitoring patients with covid-19 at home: potential pitfalls and practical guidance. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2020;17:1040-6. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.202005-418FR pmid: 32521167
- Sjoding MW, Dickson RP, Iwashyna TJ, Gay SE, Valley TS. Racial bias in pulse oximetry measurement. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2477-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2029240 pmid: 33326721
- Feiner JR, Severinghaus JW, Bickler PE. Dark skin decreases the accuracy of pulse oximeters at low oxygen saturation: the effects of oximeter probe type and gender. *Anesth Analg* 2007;105(Suppl):S18-23. doi: 10.1213/01.ane.0000285988.35174.d9 pmid: 18048893
- Bickler PE, Feiner JR, Severinghaus JW. Effects of skin pigmentation on pulse oximeter accuracy at low saturation. *Anesthesiology* 2005;102:715-9. doi: 10.1097/00000542-200504000-00004 pmid: 15791098
- Buekers J, Theunis J, De Boever P, et al. Wearable finger pulse oximetry for continuous oxygen saturation measurements during daily home routines of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) over one week: observational study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2019;7:e12866. doi: 10.2196/12866 pmid: 31199331
- Neff G, Nafis D. *Self-tracking*. MIT Press, 2016doi: 10.7551/mitpress/10421.001.0001
- Torjesen I. Covid-19: Patients to use pulse oximetry at home to spot deterioration. *BMJ* 2020;371:m4151doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4151
- Agarwal P, Mukerji G, Laur C, et al. COVIDCare@ Home: lessons from a family medicine led remote monitoring program. *MedRxiv* [preprint] 2020; 20158386. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.20.20158386
- Greenhalgh T, Procter R, Wherton J, Sugarhood P, Hinder S, Rouncefield M. What is quality in assisted living technology? The ARCHIE framework for effective telehealth and telecare services. *BMC Med* 2015;13:91. doi: 10.1186/s12916-015-0279-6 pmid: 25902803
- Bell LC, Norris-Grey C, Luintel A, et al. University College London Hospitals COVID response team. Implementation and evaluation of a COVID-19 rapid follow-up service for patients discharged from the emergency department. *Clin Med (Lond)* 2021;21:e57-62. doi: 10.7861/clinmed.2020-0816 pmid: 33355255
- Nunan J, Clarke D, Malakouti A, et al. Triage Into the Community for COVID-19 (TICC-19) Patients Pathway - service evaluation of the virtual monitoring of patients with COVID pneumonia. *Acute Med* 2020;19:183-91. doi: 10.1136/acute-2020-001517
- NHS England. Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) standard operating procedure: Covid oximetry @home. London: NHS England. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/11/C0817-standard-operating-procedure-covid-oximetry-at-home-v1.1-march-21.pdf>
- Clift AK, Coupland CAC, Keogh RH, et al. Living risk prediction algorithm (QCOVID) for risk of hospital admission and mortality from coronavirus 19 in adults: national derivation and validation cohort study. *BMJ* 2020;371:m3731. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3731 pmid: 33082154
- Martín-Rodríguez F, López-Izquierdo R, Del Pozo Vegas C, et al. Can the prehospital National Early Warning Score 2 identify patients at risk of in-hospital early mortality? A prospective, multicenter cohort study. *Heart Lung* 2020;49:585-91. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlung.2020.02.047 pmid: 32169257

- 38 Greenhalgh T, Thompson P, Wieringa S, et al. What items should be included in an early warning score for remote assessment of suspected COVID-19? qualitative and Delphi study. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e042626
- 39 Delaney B. RECAP project. London: Imperial College London. <https://imperialbrc.nihr.ac.uk/research/covid-19/covid-19-ongoing-studies/recap/> 2020.
- 40 Greenhalgh T, Javid B, Knight M, et al. What is the efficacy and safety of rapid exercise tests for exertional desaturation in covid-19? Oxford: Oxford COVID-19 Evidence Service. <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/what-is-the-efficacy-and-safety-of-rapid-exercise-tests-for-exertional-desaturation-in-covid-19/>. 2020.
- 41 British Thoracic Society. COVID-19: information for the respiratory community. 2020. <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/quality-improvement/covid-19/resp-follow-up-guidance-post-covid-pneumonia/>
- 42 Vindrola-Padros C, Singh K, Sidhu MS, et al. Remote home monitoring (virtual wards) during the covid-19 pandemic: a living systematic review. *MedRxiv* [preprint] 2020; 20208587. doi: 10.1101/2020.10.07.20208587
- 43 Vindrola-Pados C, Sidhu M, Georgiou T, et al. The implementation of remote home monitoring models during the COVID-19 pandemic in England. *e-Clinical Medicine* 2021, in press. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.12.20230318v1>
- 44 Francis NA, Stuart B, Knight M, et al. Predictors of adverse outcome in patients with suspected covid-19 managed in a "virtual hospital" setting: a cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2021, in press.
- 45 Ghoshal D. How a \$13 device is helping Delhi fight the coronavirus. *Reuters* 2020. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-india-monitor-idUSKBN25R19I>
- 46 Quinteros EJ. covid-19: patients to use pulse oximetry at home to spot deterioration (rapid response). *BMJ* 2020;371:m4151.
- 47 Greenhalgh T, Kotze K, Van Der Westhuizen H-M. Are there any evidence-based ways of assessing dyspnoea (breathlessness) by telephone or video? Oxford COVID-19 Evidence Service Rapid Review. 2020. <https://www.cebm.net/covid-19/are-there-any-evidence-based-ways-of-assessing-dyspnoea-breathlessness-by-telephone-or-video/>
- 48 Kalin A, Javid B, Knight M, et al. Direct and indirect evidence of efficacy and safety of rapid exercise tests for exertional desaturation in covid-19: a rapid systematic review. *BMC Systematic Reviews*, 2021, in press.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.