

Annual statement of reasonable GP fee increases - 2020/21 update

Report prepared for TAS

Preston Davies

June 2020

Contents

Executive summary	3
1. Introduction	4
1.1 Purpose of the annual statement.....	4
1.2 Background.....	4
1.3 Review of methodology 2019/20 results in greater weighting for labour costs and inclusion of comparator	4
2. Recap of methodology.....	6
2.1 Indices used	6
2.1.1 Labour Cost Index	6
2.1.2 Producer's Price Index	6
2.1.3 Capital Goods Price Index	6
2.2 Annual statement calculation	7
3. Changes in indices	8
3.1 Data sources	8
3.2 Overview of trends across indices.....	8
4. Calculation of the annual statement.....	10
4.1 Step 1: Input-cost related adjustment rate	10
4.2 Step 2: Adjustment for Government funding and calculation of annual statement.....	10
About Us	13

Figures

Figure 1: Annual percentage change in LCI	9
---	---

Tables

Table 1 Revised index weightings.....	3
Table 2 Revised index weightings.....	4
Table 3: Index movements up to December 2019 quarter	8
Table 4: Input-cost related adjustment rate	10
Table 5: Annual percentage change in funding for First Level (First Contact) Services	10
Table 6: Annual levels for reasonable increases to GP patient co-payments.....	11
Table 7: Annual statement and general adjustments for different capitation/co-payment splits.....	12

Executive summary

This report contains the 2020/21 update of the annual statement of reasonable GP fee increase. The reasonable patient co-payment increase in 2020/21 is **3.51 per cent**.

This figure is based on a weighted average increase in input costs of 3.51 per cent. The equivalent figure for last year was 2.91 per cent, but direct comparison is not possible as the weightings for the respective indexes has been altered this year, following a stakeholder review. The revised weightings place more emphasis on the labour component of costs (see Table 1).

Table 1 Revised index weightings

Index	Previous weight	Revised weight
PPI-Inputs Health and Community Services	20%	15%
LCI-Health and Community Services	70%	80%
CGPI-Non-residential buildings	5%	2.5%
CGPI-Plant, machinery and equipment	5%	2.5%

The government provided first contact funding increase is 3.51 per cent (up from 2.91 per cent last year). As the government funding increase matches the increase in input costs, the reasonable patient co-payment increase is the same, regardless of the share of revenue the government provides to respective practices. This is the third year in a row that the respective increases have been the same.

The stakeholder review also recommended that DHB MECA salary rates be used in place of the Labour Cost Index (LCI) for comparative purposes. Using cost shares of 64% (Medical), 23% (Nursing) and 13% (Admin) and the median value across all steps in the relevant salary scales results in an estimated labour cost change figure of 3.47% for the MECA-based approach. The labour cost change figure for the last year was 3.81%.

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the annual statement

This report presents the update of the annual statement of reasonable GP fee increase for the 2020/21 period.

1.2 Background

In 2006, a project team from LECG Asia Pacific (now renamed Sapere Research Group) was commissioned by DHBNZ to develop a methodology for setting the annual statement of reasonable GP fee increases. The team worked under the guidance of an Advisory Group, involving representatives from DHBs and the primary health care sector. Once the methodology had been developed, Sapere produced the first annual statements relating to the 2005/06 and 2006/07 June years. Subsequently, Sapere has produced further update reports on an annual basis for DHBs' National Primary Care Team, which now sits in TAS.

Further background on the application of the annual statement and the processes within which it is used can be found at <http://www.tas.health.nz> :

1.3 Review of methodology 2019/20 results in greater weighting for labour costs and inclusion of comparator

In 2019/20, review of the Annual Statement of Reasonable Fee Increase (ASRFI) process was conducted by a working group comprising representatives from primary care, DHBs and the Ministry of Health.

A range of different alternative options was canvassed around the calculation of the annual fee increase, including:

- construction of a bespoke index to improve representativeness of data
- introducing a forecasting element to reduce time lags
- using Ministry-collected DHB financial data to improve both timing and representativeness of data
- using cost data from negotiated Multi Employer Collective Agreements (MECAs) to improve representativeness of data

The working group made two recommendations to alter the calculation process. The first recommendation was to increase the weighting of labour costs relative to other costs. Table 2 compares the previous weightings used to those recommended by the working group.

Table 2 Revised index weightings

Index	Previous weight	Revised weight
PPI-Inputs Health and Community Services	20%	15%

LCI-Health and Community Services	70%	80%
CGPI-Non-residential buildings	5%	2.5%
CGPI-Plant, machinery and equipment	5%	2.5%

The second recommended change was to use cost data from relevant MECAs instead of the LCI, as a comparator. Using cost shares provided by primary care representatives of 64% (Medical), 23% (Nursing) and 13% (Admin) and the median value across all steps in the salary scale results in an estimated labour cost change figure of 3.47% for the MECA-based approach, compared to an annual change of 3.81% for the LCI-based (existing) approach.

Using the 3.47% figure in the existing weighted average calculation process results in an input-cost related adjustment figure of 3.17% for the 2020/21 year.

2. Recap of methodology

2.1 Indices used

Calculating annual fee changes is driven by weighted average changes to prices of three key inputs used to produce the services provided by GPs. Together the three indices provide measures of the extent to which changes in business input costs put pressure on the output prices charged for goods and services.

2.1.1 Labour Cost Index

The price of labour is a major driver of potential changes in operating costs and hence the fees charged by practices. The measure used is the *Labour Cost Index – All Salaries and Wage Rates* (LCI), which gives a measure of movements in the cost of labour. The index covers jobs filled by paid employees in all occupations and in all industries except for private households employing staff. As outlined further below in section 2.2, the component of the LCI used in the calculation process is that which is deemed most relevant to the provision of primary care services (i.e. Health Care and Social Assistance).¹

2.1.2 Producer's Price Index

The *Producer's Price Index - Inputs* (PPI-I) is a measure of the change in prices of items such as: materials; fuels and electricity; transport and communication; rent and lease of land; building, vehicles and plant; commission and contract services; business services; and insurance premiums less claims. It excludes labour depreciation costs and GST. The relevant component of the PPI-I used for this exercise relates specifically to the Health sector (previously known as Health and Community Services).

2.1.3 Capital Goods Price Index

The *Capital Goods Price Index* (CGPI) is a measure of the change in the general level of prices for physical capital assets (for example, buildings). It excludes large value items (such as aircraft) and second-hand equipment. The relevant components of the CGPI used for this exercise relate to Non-residential Buildings and Plant, Machinery and Equipment.

¹ Note that this category was previously referred to as Health and Community Services, both in the LCI itself and past annual statement updates. It is a name change only. We note that Statistics New Zealand is currently reviewing the weights used to construct the LCI. The intention of such a reweighting exercise is to ensure that the index remains "fit-for-purpose" over time (i.e. to reflect changes in the way resources are used). Our assessment is that the effect of such a reweighting will be similar to the re-basing that took place previously. It will make comparison with previous years difficult, but will still reflect the important and relevant cost factors used in our calculations. We will comment further in any subsequent reports, once the reweighting exercise is complete.

2.2 Annual statement calculation

As described in previous reports, the process of calculation takes place sequentially, involving two components - the change in input costs component and the change in capitation-based Government funding received (i.e. First Contact funding). The latter was previously known as the Future Funding Track (FFT) and has also previously been referred to as a "cost pressures adjustment."

The first step involves determining the annual percentage change for the relevant components of each index and averaging over the previous 12 months.

Following this, we apply weightings agreed to by PSAAP following a recent review of: 80 per cent labour (LCI); 15 per cent other inputs (PPI-I); and five per cent for capital (2.5 per cent for each component of CGPI).

Using this weighted average, we assess the effect of input cost changes on total fees (i.e. GP fees in the absence of capitation payments). From this, we use the known Government funding contribution to derive the reasonable level of co-payment increase.

We use the March, June, September and December quarters of the preceding calendar year for these calculations.

3. Changes in indices

3.1 Data sources

All data used in the production of this statement has been sourced from Statistics New Zealand. The relevant files can be accessed directly from the Statistics New Zealand website².

3.2 Overview of trends across indices

A summary of movements in relevant indices is provided below in Table 3. The change from the December 2019 quarter in the Health Care and Social Assistance component of the LCI was the highest of all industries (i.e. 4.2 per cent versus an average of 2.6 per cent) across all industries).


Table 3: Index movements up to December 2019 quarter

Index	Change from previous quarter (from September 2019 qtr.)	Change from same quarter previous year (from December 2019 qtr.)
PPI Inputs Health	0.1%	1.5%
LCI Health Care and Social Assistance	1.3%	4.2%
CGPI Non-residential Buildings	1.4%	5.4%
CGPI Plant, Machinery and Equipment	-0.1%	1.7%

Figure 1 shows the annual per cent change for each quarter in the LCI since December 2004. As shown, the growth in more recent times (due to pay settlements) is prominent. Given the relative weighting of the LCI to the other indices, changes to this index have a significant effect on the overall fee increase calculations.

² <http://www.stats.govt.nz>

Figure 1: Annual percentage change in LCI



4. Calculation of the annual statement

4.1 Step 1: Input-cost related adjustment rate

Using the process outlined in section 2.2, we generate a total fee adjustment rate of **3.51 per cent** for 2020/21. This weighted average figure represents the **input-cost related change** to the total fee for a given year, a crucial intermediate input into the annual statement determination. Given relative weightings, it is closely related to the LCI.

Table 4 below provides the equivalent input-cost related adjustment factors for this and previous years.³

Table 4: Input-cost related adjustment rate

	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
Input-cost adjustment	3.7%	4.8%	3.01%	1.09%	1.41%	1.50%	1.18%	1.10%	1.12%	1.57%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%

4.2 Step 2: Adjustment for Government funding and calculation of annual statement

We have been advised that a **Government funding adjustment of 3.51 per cent** will apply this (fiscal) year to first level (first contact) services. Table 5 shows how this adjustment compares to previous Government funding adjustments.

This adjustment is combined with the findings from the previous step to determine the reasonable increase to co-payment levels.

Table 5: Annual percentage change in funding for First Level (First Contact) Services

	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
Government adjustment	2.6%	2.8%	3.1%	2.0%	2.0%	1.49%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.82%	2.38%	3.51%

³ Note that the information in this table is illustrative only. The time period changes implemented in 2009 mean the 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13 figures are not directly comparable with the previous figures. In addition, the LCI was re-based from a June 2001 base to a June 2009 base. Similarly, the PPI-I was rebased from a December 1997 to a December 2010 base. Therefore, values contained in past annual statements are not able to be directly compared with the current values. In addition, the revised weightings used this year mean direct comparison with previous years is not possible.

As shown in Table 6 below, **based on a 50/50 capitation/co-payment revenue split**, the reasonable fee (patient co-payment) increase for 2020/21 is **3.51 per cent**.

Table 6: Annual levels for reasonable increases to GP patient co-payments

	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
Reasonable fee increase	4.7%	6.5%	4.02%	0.19%	1.34%	2.01%	1.37%	1.40%	1.25%	1.32%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%

This value is a function of the relativity between (capitation/first contact) funding from the Government and the input-related adjustment factor for the total fee, given an assumed split.⁴ When the Government-generated adjustment is greater than the input-related adjustment factor, the co-payment increase will be less than the input-related adjustment factor.⁵

For the third year in a row, the government funding increase matches the input cost related adjustment. This means that the 3.51 per cent reasonable fee (patient co-payment) increase for 2020/21 applies across all practices regardless of the capitation/co-payment mix.

Table 7 below provides the annual statement change for various capitation/co-payment splits, compared with the equivalent figures for previous annual statements. Note that figures prior to the 2010/11 year were calculated using a different time period, so are not directly comparable with this year's figure. Similarly, the revised weightings applied this year mean direct comparison with previous years is not possible.

⁴ The fee template associated with the annual statement gives the opportunity to use practice, or practice group specific data where this split is not appropriate.

⁵ This is because the effective weighting attached to the capitation subsidy is greater than that of the co-payment, meaning that when the capitation adjustment is greater than the input-related adjustment factor, there is effectively less work to do by the co-payment in order for the total fee adjustment to match the change in costs faced by practices.

Table 7: Annual statement and general adjustments for different capitation/co-payment splits

		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
Level of reasonable co-payment fee increase	Assuming 80/20 split	6.3%	11.4%	7.5%	11.5%	7.05%	-2.53%	1.11%
	70/30 split	5.3%	8.5%	5.9%	8.7%	5.37%	-1.02%	1.24%
	60/40 split	4.8%	7.0%	5.1%	7.3%	4.53%	-0.26%	1.30%
	50/50 split	4.5%	6.1%	4.7%	6.5%	4.02%	0.19%	1.34%

		2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
Level of reasonable co-payment fee increase	Assuming 80/20 split	3.52%	1.92%	2.31%	1.62%	0.57%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%
	70/30 split	2.68%	1.61%	1.81%	1.42%	0.99%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%
	60/40 split	2.26%	1.46%	1.55%	1.31%	1.20%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%
	50/50 split	2.01%	1.37%	1.40%	1.25%	1.32%	2.38%	2.91%	3.51%

About Us

Sapere Research Group is one of the largest expert consulting firms in Australasia, and a leader in the provision of independent economic, forensic accounting and public policy services. We provide independent expert testimony, strategic advisory services, data analytics and other advice to Australasia's private sector corporate clients, major law firms, government agencies, and regulatory bodies.

'Sapere' comes from Latin (to be wise) and the phrase 'sapere aude' (dare to be wise). The phrase is associated with German philosopher Immanuel Kant, who promoted the use of reason as a tool of thought; an approach that underpins all Sapere's practice groups.

We build and maintain effective relationships as demonstrated by the volume of repeat work. Many of our experts have held leadership and senior management positions and are experienced in navigating complex relationships in government, industry, and academic settings.

We adopt a collaborative approach to our work and routinely partner with specialist firms in other fields, such as social research, IT design and architecture, and survey design. This enables us to deliver a comprehensive product and to ensure value for money.

For more information, please contact:

Preston Davies

Phone: +64 9 909 5822

Mobile: +64 21 412 102

Email: pdavies@thinkSapere.com

Other email: For operational and process-related questions please contact
ALLDHBS@dhbshareservices.health.nz

Wellington

Level 9
 1 Willeston Street
 PO Box 587
 Wellington 6140

P +64 4 915 7590
 F +64 4 915 7596

Auckland

Level 8
 203 Queen Street
 PO Box 2475
 Shortland Street
 Auckland 1140

P +64 9 909 5810
 F +64 9 909 5828

Sydney

Level 18
 135 King Street
 Sydney
 NSW 2000

P +61 2 9234 0200
 F +61 2 9234 0201

Melbourne

Level 2
 161 Collins Street
 GPO Box 3179
 Melbourne 3001

P +61 3 9005 1454
 F +61 2 9234 0201 (Syd)

Canberra

PO Box 252
 Canberra City
 ACT 2601

P +61 2 6100 6363
 F +61 2 9234 0201 (Syd)

www.thinkSapere.com

independence, integrity and objectivity